

## Expression of Interest for Independent Evaluator: FAQs

### Purpose of document

This FAQs document outlines responses received by those that have expressed an interest to be the independent evaluator for the National Systems Change culturally specific trial domestic abuse perpetrator programmes. We have collated these questions and responses so that all those who are interested in applying to the independent evaluator have access to the same information.

**1. Is the budget inclusive of VAT?**

Yes, the budget is inclusive of VAT.

**2. How long the evaluation is going to be for?**

The anticipated start date is projected for October or November. At present, service delivery runs until 30<sup>th</sup> April 2026.

**3. Given the start date for the evaluation is around early October 2025, when is the end date? When would the Drive Partnership want to receive a draft report by?**

As previously stated, the anticipated start date is projected for October or November. At present, service delivery runs until 30<sup>th</sup> April 2026. We will agree a timeline to receive updates, and the final report will be due 1 month after the project end date.

**4. What is the timeframe for delivery, or is the Drive Partnership looking for this to be part of the proposal?**

Service delivery runs until April 2026, however there is the possibility that this date could be extended based on fundraising efforts.

- 5. Given the consortiums are delivering culturally specific programmes that have recently been commissioned, do they have an agreed window for mobilisation? When did they start working with service users?**

The mobilisation phase has commenced, and we are now currently in the service delivery phase. It is important to note that each of the consortium partners are at different stages of service delivery.

- 6. Does the Drive Partnership have a sense, at this stage, of the projected number of service users the services are expected to reach during the evaluation timeframe?**

We have set a target of 30 clients for the year of service delivery for each trial site.

- 7. The brief mentions case studies and case study analysis, would there be case studies of people receiving support? Would the Drive Partnership anticipate that the evaluator conducts primary research to produce these case studies, or would this be secondary analysis of case studies already produced by e.g. frontline practitioners?**

Yes, this would include case studies of clients receiving support, but it may also include other case studies e.g. mobilisation. We want to encourage flexibility and creativity in the process. This will be discussed further following appointment of successful evaluator.

- 8. Will the delivery consortiums be reporting quantitative monitoring data to the Drive Partnership in a standardised format that could be shared with the evaluator? Or is the expectation that the evaluator will work with each consortium to identify and analyse the available data which can be shared?**

There is a standardised reporting mechanism in place for Consortium Partners. Further discussion will be had around sharing this information following successful appointment of Evaluator.

- 9. Is the Drive Partnership looking for a single evaluator or a team of specialists who can conduct an evaluation?**

We are open to both single evaluators and a team of specialists. The important thing is that the individual/ team can evaluate a full programme of work across different communities.

---

Supported by:



A partnership between:

